1	A Idaho Broadcast Consortium.
2	Q And what is that organization, ma'am?
3	A It's my husband's company.
4	MR. GAMMON: Might I have a moment, Your Honor. I
5	think I can wind it up. Your Honor, is this a convenient time
6	for a recess? I know I'm taking too much time here. I think
7	about 5 or 10 minutes we can discern whether we have one or
8	two more questions or whether we're done.
9	JUDGE LUTON: All right. Well, we'll recess five
10	minutes.
11	MR. GAMMON: Thank you.
12	(Whereupon, off the record from 2:35 p.m. to 2:41
13	p.m.)
14	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Gammon, are we completed or are we
15	going to go on to more.
16	MR. GAMMON: Your Honor, I, I am finished with
17	cross-examination and I turn our conduct of the case back to
18	Mr. Fitch.
19	JUDGE LUTON: All right. Is it time for redirect?
20	MR. GAMMON: Yes, sir.
21	JUDGE LUTON: I believe it is. All right.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. SHUBERT:
24	Q Mrs. Constant, there was some question about your
25	engineer, Mr. Klein. Would you tell us how you came to know

1	Mr. Klein?
2	A Well, I've known Elliott for quite a while. I think
3	I met him at a convention. It's been quite a while ago. And
4	his Elliott and his wife, Catherine, have been friends of
5	mine for quite a while. I've gone on vacations with them.
6	Q Mr. Gammon asked you a question pertaining to
7	Petaluma and its relationship to the coverage area. Would you
8	explain for us your idea of the relationship of Petaluma to
9	the coverage area?
LO	MR. FITCH: Your Honor, if I might for a minute, I
11	believe, I believe the question was whether Petaluma was
L <b>2</b>	outside the 1 mil. It didn't have anything to do with the
13	relationship.
14	MR. SHUBERT: Your Honor, if I may, there was a
15	specific, rather cavalier expression by Mr. Gammon where he
L <b>6</b>	said, I'm sure Mr. Shubert will have you give examples of the
L <b>7</b>	reason that you believe Petaluma was within the coverage area.
18	I am following up on that, because that was his question. And
L9	I we can take it back to the beginning, but there is a
20	specific statement in question pertaining to that.
21	JUDGE LUTON: All right.
22	MR. SHUBERT: Because I took it down ver yes
23	there was an answer.
24	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. So since Gammon authorized you

25 to ask this question, you go ahead and ask it.

1	MR. SHUBERT: I took it down verbatim when he did
2	it, Your Honor. He opened the door.
3	JUDGE LUTON: I never heard an objection, but I
4	assume there was one. It's overruled. Ask the question,
5	let's get a response and move.
6	BY MR. SHUBERT:
7	Q Will you tell us your idea of the relationship of
8	Petaluma to the coverage area?
9	A Well, Petaluma is a very, very small town and
10	especially when I was growing up it was a very small town. It
11	still is a small town. Santa Rosa is a very is a much
12	larger town. The, the basic ser you know, services,
13	everything is in Santa Rosa. The whole area from Petaluma
14	you look right at Mount Saint Helena. I've looked at Mount
15	Saint Helena all my life as a matter of fact. When I was at
16	Sonoma State I looked at Mount Saint Helena. I've spent my
17	entire life just about in the area
18	JUDGE LUTON: is this useful testimony?
19	MR. GAMMON: No.
20	WITNESS: It, it's just that the area it's a very
21	small valley
22	JUDGE LUTON: Ask your question again. I want
23	let's get a better, better focus here.
24	MR. SHUBERT: He was Mr. Gammon was examining
25	JUDGE LUTON: What is the question that you're

•	
1	putting to the witness, please?
2	MR. SHUBERT: What is your understanding of the
3	relationship of Petaluma to the coverage area?
4	JUDGE LUTON: If you have such an understanding. Do
5	you have such an understanding?
6	WITNESS: I understood it to mean that I mean,
7	Petaluma is, is part of that area, Santa Rosa, Petaluma,
8	Rohnert Park, Penn Grove, Cotati, Calistoga, Saint Helena,
9	they're all basically your they're in a very small
10	valley. It's, it's like if you're going the other
11	direction, you're in Marin, you're looking at towards San
12	Francisco.
13	JUDGE LUTON: Can you relate any of this to the
14	service area is, is the way the question has been put to you?
15	WITNESS: Petaluma is it's Petaluma goes
16	toward Santa Rosa. It goes to that service area.
17	JUDGE LUTON: You mean goes toward it or goes
18	WITNESS: We listen to the Petaluma listens to
19	their radio stations. Petaluma receives a TV station that's
20	in Santa Rosa.
21	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. Have you gotten enough for your
22	purposes?
23	MR. SHUBERT: Yes, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE LUTON: All right. Thank you.
25	BY MR. SHUBERT:

1	Q	Did you ever receive a notice from the Calistoga
2	Performin	g Arts Association that your membership was canceled?
3	A	No.
4	Q	You have testified today about employees that you
5	contempla-	te will be working at the station?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	How many full-time employees are you contemplating?
8	A	There will be less than four.
9	Q	Are you contemplating other employees than full-time
10	employees?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And these other em what kind of employees will
13	these other employees be?	
14	A	The
15	Q	What will their stature be or, or
16	A	Depending on, on the circumstances, there'll
17	probably	be a part-time engineer, be a part-time secretary.
18	There cou	ld be someone to do part-time programming. There
19	they would	d be part-time.
20	Q	When you were preparing your application and in
21	particula	r preparing the financial materials, did you was
22	contempla	tion given to part-time employees as well as full-
23	time empl	oyees?
24	A	When I did the budget, I, I left a I always I
25	put down	more than I had actually budgeted for specifically

for full-time employees so that I would have the money to pay 2 part-time employees. 3 Would part-time employees perform services in some 4 of the areas that were described in your Direct Case Exhibit that you were examined about by Mr. Gammon? And I direct your 5 6 attention specifically to page 2 of Hearing Exhibit No. 2, 7 lines 4 through 18? 8 A Yes. 9 What is the composition of the membership of the 10 Daughters of California Pioneers? 11 The Daughters of the California Pioneers are -- it's 12 a historical society that is -- its members are related to 13 people who entered California prior to 1849. It's -- as I 14 said, it's, it's a historical organization and so they're active in preserving the history and the civic pride in 15 16 historical areas in California. They maintain a very large 17 library in downtown San Francisco. It's actually right across the street from the main branch of the San Francisco library. 18 19 And they also have meeting rooms there. 20 What is your understanding or belief of the status 21 of members of the Daughters of California Pioneers in the 22 community? 23 MR. FITCH: Objection, Your Honor. Daug-- what 24 community?

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

In, in any community.

I'm leading --

MR. SHUBERT:

25

1	I'm laying a predicate.
2	WITNESS: Well, in, in any community it's just an
3	added enhancement of someone's civic pride and especially
4	pride in the history of California.
5	MR. SHUBERT: Do these people have any influence in
6	the communities?
7	MR. FITCH: Objection. It's totally hypothetical.
8	Do these people have any influence in communities?
9	MR. SHUBERT: Do you have any
10	MR. FITCH: I object.
11	MR. SHUBERT: Do you have any knowledge of members
12	of the California Daughters of the California Pioneers
13	having any influence in Calistoga or anywhere within the
14	coverage area?
15	WITNESS: Well, definitely they, they've obviously
16	been people are people that have lived in California for
17	all of their lives. And so they have a tendency to be civic
18	leaders in areas.
19	MR. SHUBERT: Have you ever met anybody in the
20	Calistoga area, besides yourself, who is a member of the
21	Daughter of the California Pioneers.
22	WITNESS: No
23	MR. FITCH: Objection, Your Honor. It's irrelevant.
24	JUDGE LUTON: Overruled. Answer it anyway.
25	Proceed.

1	WITNESS: No. I haven't lived in Calistoga very
2	long and I, you know, I haven't met any fellow members in
3	Calistoga yet.
4	BY MR. SHUBERT:
5	Q In other areas, have they been entrees to your
6	involvement in the community where you've lived?
7	A No, they haven't.
8	Q Your Honor, at this point I'm placing before the
9	witness copies of Willson's Exhibit No. 4 and Exhibit No. 5.
10	Prior today to today, have you ever seen those documents?
11	A No.
12	Q Do you have any idea where those documents came
13	from?
14	A These documents?
15	Q Yes.
16	A No.
17	Q Were those documents ever shown to you prior to
18	today by Mr. Willson or Mr. Willson's attorneys?
19	A No, they weren't.
20	Q Do you know who the author of those documents is?
21	A No.
22	Q Do you have any actual knowledge of the source of
23	those documents?
24	A No. I mean I'm assuming it's the real estate board,
25	but I, I can't even I'm not even sure of that.

1	Q So you but for what is before you, you have no
2	knowledge of those documents whatsoever?
3	A Not of these documents.
4	MR. SHUBERT: Your Honor, I'm going to move once
5	or move that these documents be stricken from the record
6	because they are totally unsupported by anyone who can attest
7	to their, their efficacy, their originality, their creation,
8	that the substance that's contained therein is accurate and
9	correct. We know nothing about them. We don't even have a
10	notarized statement that they are true copies taken from any
11	source.
12	MR. FITCH: May I respond?
13	MR. SHUBERT: I don't even know if notice could be
14	taken of them frankly.
15	JUDGE LUTON: It depends on what you mean by
16	a document. If you're talking about just a piece of paper that
17	imparts no information, that's one thing. If you're talking
18	about a piece of paper that contains information which the
19	witness has sworn in her testimony is true and correct, that's
20	quite another.
21	MR. SHUBERT: But as far as sponsoring them, Your
22	Honor, there's no sponsor. They are totally unsponsored.
23	Under the Federal Rules of Evidence, a document has to be
24	sponsored unless it can be taken notice of.
25	JUDGE LUTON: That's where the document constitutes

1	the evidence. Here the witness' testimony constitutes the
2	evidence.
3	MR. SHUBERT: But the witness testified as to what
4	she knew. That is in the record. I'm not moving at all to
5	strike that.
6	JUDGE LUTON: The documents say no more than what
7	the witness has testified to.
8	MR. SHUBERT: Well, Your Honor
9	JUDGE LUTON: That's all, that's all we're
10	interested in.
11	MR. SHUBERT: So they're duplicative of the record,
12	is that what it is?
13	JUDGE LUTON: They, they may be duplicative. At
14	worst, that is all. Certainly not inadmissible. Objection is
15	overruled, or the request for reconsideration is overruled.
16	MR. SHUBERT: May we have a moment, Your Honor?
17	JUDGE LUTON: Yes.
18	BY MR. SHUBERT:
19	Q Mrs. Constant, may I direct your attention to the
20	deposition transcript page 110. This is your deposition that
21	is dated as of June 4, 1993. Actually, let me direct your
22	attention to page 111 and would you be kind enough to review
23	page 111 and page 112?
24	A Um-hum.
25	Q Now, I asked you at line 8 of page 11, I said, do

|you talk with Mr. Constant, and that's your husband, Frederick| 2 W. Constant, about matters that occur during the day. 3 your answer was what? 4 A Usually. And I said, would it be fair to say that you might 5 6 have talked with him about something that you did involving, 7 for example, a discussion with Mr. Livermore? A Yes. 8 9 And who is Mr. Livermore? 10 Put Livermore is -- owns the tower site on Mount 11 Saint Helena. 12 So is it your -- so you would have talked with -- so 13 would you have talked with your husband about discussions that 14 you had with Mr. Livermore? 15 I would have, I would have talked to him about the fact that I talked with Put Livermore. 16 17 Might you have related the substance of your 18 discussion with Mr. Livermore to him? 19 A I might have. 20 There has been a great deal of discussion today 21 about your application. 22 A Yes. 23 What does your application mean to you? 24 It means that it is strictly my application. have executed the application and I plan to build and run the

1	radio station as my own business. My husband and I have
2	always had very separate
3	MR. FITCH: Objection, Your Honor. This, this is,
4	this is way off base. She's talking the question was, what
5	does your application mean to you. Now, she's talking about
6	her relationship to her husband, vis-a-vis other businesses.
7	We were very careful not to get into that area and I object to
8	where this is going.
9	MR. SHUBERT: She's responding to the question, Your
10	Honor. He's objecting to her answer to a question.
11	JUDGE LUTON: The question itself is ambiguous.
12	What does your application mean to you suggests a certain
13	answer. And what does your application, with the emphasis on
14	your, suggests another response to the question. It's
15	ambiguous.
16	MR. SHUBERT: Shall I try to restructure it, Your
17	Honor?
18	JUDGE LUTON: Would you please?
19	BY MR. SHUBERT:
20	Q Does your application mean FCC Form 301?
21	A Yes.
22	Q When someone asks you a question about your
23	application, are you thinking of FCC Form 301?
24	A I'm thinking about the application with a capital A,
25	yes.

1	Ω	You have discussed with your sorry. Have you
2	discussed	with your husband matters pertaining to relating
3	to you act	tivities involving the application?
4	A	With matters relating to the application? Yes I
5	have.	
6	Q	Have you talked with your husband about the
7	application	on, capital A application?
8	A	No, I haven't talked to him about that, that
9	application	on.
10	Q	Directing your attention now, from a thought process
11	standpoin	t, to the questions that were posed to you concerning
12	KFTY and	the studio location.
13	A	Um-hum. Yes.
14	Q	Did there come a time when you talked with a
15	representa	ative of KFTY?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	About your application?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And to whom did you speak?
20	A	I talked to Al Huber.
21	Q	And did Mr. Huber suggest anything to you about a
22	studio lo	cation?
23	A	He said he'd be glad to have me at his use of his
24	studio.	
25	Q	Is that what prompted your response about the

1	Channel 50 studio pertaining to when the question was asked
2	by Mr
3	MR. FITCH: I'm going to object, Your Honor. That's
4	a leading question.
5	JUDGE LUTON: Yes, it is.
6	MR. SHUBERT: What prompted your response to Mr.
7	Fitch's question about the main studio?
8	MR. FITCH: The same objection.
9	MR. SHUBERT: And its basis?
10	JUDGE LUTON: That's not leading. That's not
11	leading, no.
12	MR. FITCH: It is in the context. The answer's been
13	suggested.
14	JUDGE LUTON: Overruled. You can answer the
15	question. What prompted your response.
16	WITNESS: Okay. All right. Thank you. Okay. In
17	addition to having studios in Calistoga, when I talked to
18	Mr. Huber, he was very enthusiastic about meeting me and about
19	my application and he offered the use of his studio. And I
20	that is what prompted me to answer it the way I did.
21	MR. SHUBERT: I have no further questions, Your
22	Honor.
23	JUDGE LUTON: Recross?
24	MR. FITCH: No, sir, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE LUTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Constant.

1	WITNESS: Thank you.
2	JUDGE LUTON: That then completes Moonbeam's direct
3	case?
4	MR. SHUBERT: That's correct, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE LUTON: All right. We're ready then for the
6	direct case of Mr. Gary E. Willson. Do you want to start this
7	case this evening or this afternoon or do this tomorrow?
8	MR. FITCH: I'd prefer start tomorrow.
9	JUDGE LUTON: Is it likely that we'll finish
10	tomorrow if we do that? Because if not I'd just assume go on
11	to assure that we finish tomorrow.
12	MR. SHUBERT: I would think we would finish
13	tomorrow, Your Honor, yes.
14	JUDGE LUTON: All right. Then we will quit for
15	today and we'll be in recess until 9:30 tomorrow morning.
16	(Whereupon, at 3:05 p.m., the proceeding was adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

APPLICATIONS OF	MOONBEAM, INC. AND GARY E. WILLSON
Name	
MM DOCKET NO. 93	-42
Docket No.	
WASHINGTON, D.C.	
Place	
JULY 21, 1993	
Date	
pages, numberstrue, accurate as reporting bythe above identifications of the professional versions work and have versions accomparing the type recording accompaginal proofed type.	ned, do hereby certify that the foregoing  7 through 170, inclusive, are the nd complete transcript prepared from the MARYKAE FLEISHMAN in attendance at fied proceeding, in accordance with applicable be current Federal Communications Commission's batim reporting and transcription Statement of rified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) pewritten transcript against the reporting or lished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the pewritten transcript against the reporting or lished at the proceeding.
July 30, 1993  Date	Martha K. Conner Transcriber Free State Reporting, Inc.
August 2, 1993 Date	Diane S. Windell  Proofreader  Free State Reporting, Inc.
August 2, 1993 Date	Marykae Rieishman , Reporter Free State Reporting, Inc.